	A polication No.		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Applicant(s)	
	10.7719,199 Examiner	CAMPBELL ET AL.	
	<u> 1</u>	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Thompson	3672	t-
All Participants:	non-final rejection	n: <u>Claims amended in respo</u>	ise to
(1) Kenneth Thompson	(3)		
(2) Shannon W. Bates.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 September 2006	Time: <u>9:55</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	cant's representative)	·	
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.	•		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 6 and 21			
Prior art documents discussed: Solum, U.S. 3,285,345			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Applicant's Attorney the the Examiner agreed to amend the clar to more correctly describe the figures.			fication
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand.</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the sub of the interview, since the int	stance
			,
	•	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Apalicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate	_ ∋)
		- 11	•